	From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU
PCT	To:
NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY) (PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)	BERNHARDT, Reinhold Kobenhüttenweg 43 66123 Saarbrücken ALLEMAGNE
,	
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 09 March 2006 (09.03.2006)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 15356/-/S	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No. PCT/EP2004/003701	International filing date (day/month/year) 07 April 2004 (07.04.2004)
Applicant SIEBEL	Thomas Vorfrist not.
	Eing.: 29. Marz 2006
Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.	Fristablaufnot.
The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of th patentability (Chapter I).	e English translation of the international preliminary report on
The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the patentability (Chapter II).	e English translation of the international preliminary report on
2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or e	lected Offices.
The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that Offices requiring such translation:	translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected
None	·
The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the re translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:	quirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that
EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID,	BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, DA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, ZA, ZM, ZW
3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official langua	ge(s) of the elected Office(s).
The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the internati must contain a translation of any annexes to the international prelin	onal application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation inary report on patentability (Chapter II).
It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish suc applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT App	ch translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the olicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Ellen Moyse
Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35	Facsimile No.+41 22 338 89 75

Form PCT/IB/338 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 15356/-/S	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/EP2004/003701	International filing date (day/month/year) 07 April 2004 (07.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 April 2003 (15.04.2003)
International Patent Classification (8) See relevant information in Form	th edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237	
Applicant SIEBEL, Thomas		

1.	This international preliminary rep International Searching Authority	port on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the value 44 bis.1(a).
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.
***		nce to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference eport on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications r	elating to the following items:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report
	Box No. II	Priority
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
4.		mmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but nakes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority

	Date of issuance of this report 02 March 2006 (02.03.2006)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Ellen Moyse	
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 75	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From th		NAL SEARCHI	NG AUTHOR	ITY		PCT PCT
Го:		•				DCT
		•				PUI
				i		
					Wi	RITTEN OPINION OF THE
					INTERNAT	IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
·						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
						(1 C1 Rule 43013.1)
				ŀ		
					Date of mailing	
Applic	ant's or a	gent's file referen	ce ·		(day/month/year)	
	356/-	_			FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below
		plication No.		International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
		2004/003	701	07.04.2004	<i></i>	15.04.2003
				national classification an	d IPC	
Incana	(IOHAI KA	·	ii (II C) or bor	i ilational classification als	airc	
Applica	ant		-			·
SIE	EBEL	, Thomas				
		•				•
						
1.	This	pinion contains ii	ndications rela	ting to the following items	:	
	\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion		
	\bowtie	Box No. II	Priority			
		Box No. III	Non-establi:	shment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability
		Box No. IV	Lack of unit	y of invention	- -	•
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Box No. V		•	l(a)(i) with regard to a	novelty, inventive step or industrial
	——————————————————————————————————————	DON IVO. V		; citations and explanation		
		Box No. VI	Certain doct	aments cited		
	\bowtie	Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the international app	olication	
	\boxtimes	Box No. VIII	Certain obse	ervations on the internation	nal application	
2.		THER ACTION				
						If he considered to be a written opinion of the oly where the applicant chooses an Authority other
	than t	his one to be the	IPEA and the	chosen IPEA has notified	the International Bure	eau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of
			-	will not be so considered.		A, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a
	writte	n reply together,	where approp	oriate, with amendments,	before the expiration	of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form
		SA/220 or before in their options, see		of 22 months from the pri	iority date, whichever	expires later.
	POLIC	umei opnons, see	rottii FC1/13	AV 220.		
3.	For fu	rther details, see i	notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.		
Name a	nd maili	ing address of the	ISA/EP		Authorized officer	
Faccimile No.				Telephone No		

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003701

В	ox No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed nation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
1	a.	type of material
	•	a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material .
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	itional comments:
Ì		

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003701

Bo	x No. II	Priority						
1.	Т	he following docume	ent has not yet been furi	nished:				
		copy of the earli	er application whose pr	iority has been	claimed (Rule 4	3bis.1 and 66.7(a)).		•
		_	e earlier application wh					
			nt been possible to consi relevant date in the cla			claim. This opinion h	as nevertheless been e	stablished on
2.	LJ (n established as if no p l.1). Thus for the purpo					
3.	Additio	onal observations, if a	necessary:					
	•							
			•					
		-						
								•
		•						

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003701

Box			tle 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; oporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	6,7,9	YES
		Claims	1-5,8,10	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	6,7,9	YES
		Claims	1-5,8,10	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES
		Claims		NO
1				

- 2. Citations and explanations:
 - 1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 2001/007957 A1 (MARTIN DANIEL L ET AL) 12 July 2001 (2001-07-12)

D2: US-A-5 389 107 (ECKSTEIN EUGENE C ET AL) 14 February 1995 (1995-02-14)

D3: DE 197 25 269 A (BAROUD GAMAL DR; BRAEMER KLAUS DIPL ING (DE); KREISIG REINER PROF) 7 January 1999 (1999-01-07)

2. Each one of documents D1-D3 discloses (reference signs between parentheses refer to the corresponding document):

A hip prosthesis (D1: Fig.1; D2: Fig. 1; D3: Fig. 1) with a joint part and an anchoring part (D1: 508, 520; D2: 34, 38; D3: 2, 3, 5) which is to be implanted in the neck of a femur, the anchoring part being able to be deformed in a manner that corresponds to possible load-associated deformations in the area of the neck of the femur (D1: paragraph 0178; D2: column 4, lines 3-12; D3: column 1, lines 28-34).

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003701

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The subject matter of claim 1 therefore does <u>not</u> meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) for novelty.

3. Regarding the dependent claims, it will be noted that document D1 discloses all the features of claims 2-5, 8 and 10, and document D2 discloses all the features set forth in claims 2-4.

For this reason, claims 2-5, 8 and 10 also do not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2).

- 4. The applicant is invited to submit new documents which deal with and rectify all of the objections raised. An accompanying letter should be provided explaining why a newly filed independent claim 1 should be considered novel and inventive.
- 4.1 When filing amended claims, the applicant must also bring the description into line with the amended claims. When reworking the application, particularly the introductory part and the disclosure of the object and the advantages of the invention, care should be taken to ensure that its subject matter does not go beyond the content of the application in its originally submitted form (PCT Article 19(2)).
- 5. To facilitate the examination of amended application documents in respect of PCT Article 19(2), the applicant is requested to ensure that the amendments made, irrespective of whether they are amendments involving addition, replacement or deletion, are clearly indicated, and also to show which passages in the originally filed

original application.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003701

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement application these amendments correspond to.

If appropriate, these details can be provided in handwritten form on copies of the relevant parts of the

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003701

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

6. Pursuant to PCT Rule 5.1(a)ii, the description should have cited documents D1-D3 and briefly outlined the relevant prior art contained in these documents. In the description, the applicant should make clear which features of the subject matter of independent claim 1 are already known from these documents.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003701

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

- 7. In claim 1, the reference to a femur should be changed from "in the neck of the femur" to "in the neck of a femur" in order to avoid problems concerning clarity.
- 8. Claim 10 refers to parts (9, 10) which were mentioned first in claim 3. Therefore, claim 10 should correctly be dependent on one of claims 3-9.

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.